SIGNAL PROCESSING RADAR TECHNOLOGY

Advanced Deep Learning Architectures for Radar Signal Recognition: From RSRDRBM to Squeeze-and-Excitation Networks

Published: January 10, 2026 | Index ID: #289

In the rapidly evolving landscape of electronic warfare and cognitive radar, the ability to accurately identify and classify radar signals has become a cornerstone of modern Electronic Support Measures (ESM) and Electronic Intelligence (ELINT) systems. Traditional signal processing methods, while foundational, often struggle with the increasing complexity of Low Probability of Intercept (LPI) radars and the dense signal environments of modern battlespaces. This article provides an in-depth technical exploration of novel deep learning architectures—specifically **Restricted Boltzmann Machines (RBM)** and **Squeeze-and-Excitation (SE) Networks**—that are redefining the benchmarks for radar signal recognition, target classification, and signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) robustness.

The Evolution of Radar Electronic Reconnaissance

Radar signal recognition is the process of identifying the modulation type and parameters of a received pulse or waveform. This is critical for threat assessment, jamming strategy development, and situational awareness. Historically, this involved manual feature extraction where engineers would identify parameters such as Pulse Repetition Interval (PRI), Radio Frequency (RF), and Pulse Width (PW). However, as radar systems transitioned to complex intra-pulse modulations like Linear Frequency Modulation (LFM), Binary Phase Shift Keying (BPSK), and Polyphase codes (P1-P4), the feature space became too multidimensional for classical statistical classifiers.

The introduction of deep learning has shifted the paradigm from **feature engineering** to **feature learning**. Instead of manually defining what an LFM signal looks like, neural networks are now trained to discover latent hierarchical representations directly from raw data or time-frequency representations (TFRs). This shift is particularly vital for handling the **Dual-Component Radar-Signal Modulation**problem, where multiple signals overlap in the same frequency band, creating a significant challenge for conventional reconnaissance systems.

RSRDRBM: A Deep Dive into Restricted Boltzmann Machines

One of the most significant breakthroughs in radar signal recognition is the **Radar Signal Recognition based on Deep Restricted Boltzmann Machine (RSRDRBM)**. This architecture addresses the limitations of shallow networks by stacking multiple RBM layers to capture deep abstract features of the radar waveform.

The Hierarchical Unsupervised Learning Process

An RBM is a bipartite graph consisting of two layers: a visible layer (v) and a hidden layer (h). Unlike standard neural networks, RBMs are energy-based models. The RSRDRBM utilizes a **bottom-up hierarchical unsupervised learning**strategy. This stage is crucial because it allows the model to learn the underlying distribution of the radar signals without requiring labeled data initially. The energy function of an RBM is defined as:

E(v, h) = -:2 ö' eö' Ò £ b_j h_j - :2 £ v_i w_ij h_j

Where **v_i** and **h_j** are the states of visible and hidden units, **a_i** and **b_j** are their biases, and **w_{ij}**is the weight between them. By stacking these layers, the network creates a Deep Belief Network (DBN) structure that extracts progressively more complex features from the input radar data.

Fine-Tuning via Back-Propagation and Softmax

Once the initial parameters are obtained through unsupervised pre-training (often using the **Contrastive Divergence (CD)** algorithm), the RSRDRBM enters a supervised fine-tuning phase. Here, the traditional **Back-Propagation (BP)** algorithm is employed to adjust the weights across all layers based on labeled signal data. The final layer typically employs a **Softmax algorithm**to produce a probability distribution across the possible modulation classes, ensuring that the model can classify the signals into discrete categories like LFM, NLFM, BPSK, or Costas codes with high precision.

Enhancing Low Probability of Intercept (LPI) Detection

LPI radar signals are designed to be difficult for intercept receivers to detect by using low power, wide bandwidth, and complex modulation. Recognizing these signals under **low SNR conditions** is a major hurdle. Recent research suggests a novel method based on **feature enhancement and deep metric learning**.

Metric Learning and Feature Distinctiveness

The core philosophy of deep metric learning in radar is to optimize the feature space such that signals of the same modulation type are clustered closely together (minimizing intra-class distance) while different modulation types are pushed far apart (maximizing inter-class distance). By implementing specialized loss functions like **Triplet Loss** or **Center Loss**, the network can learn to identify signals even when the noise floor is high enough to obscure the visual patterns in a spectrogram.

Architectural Innovations: SE-Networks and Dual-Attention

Moving beyond RBMs, **Squeeze-and-Excitation (SE) networks**have introduced a new way to process radar data by focusing on channel-wise relationships. In a typical convolutional layer, the network treats all feature maps equally. SE-networks, however, introduce a mechanism to "squeeze" global spatial information into a channel descriptor and then "excite" specific channels that are more relevant to the signal's identity.

Memory Mechanisms and Angular Penalty in HRRP

For **High-Resolution Radar Profile (HRRP)**target recognition, the challenge is different: the "open and imbalanced" nature of real-world data. Radar targets may appear at different angles, leading to variance in the HRRP. Novel neural networks now incorporate:

- Memory Modules: To store prototypical representations of targets across different aspect angles.
- Angular Penalty: To force the network to learn features that are invariant to small shifts in the radar-to-target geometry.
- Dual-Attention: Combining spatial and channel attention to focus on the most discriminative parts of the radar return, effectively filtering out ground clutter or sea spikes.
- HRRP Consistency: Ensuring that the high-resolution profile remains recognizable despite fluctuations in the radar's line-of-sight.

Deep Learning Architectures for Radar: Comparison Matrix

The following table evaluates the most prominent deep learning methods currently applied to radar signal and target recognition.

Methodology	Core Architecture	Primary Strength	Ideal Use Case	Complexity
RSRDRBM	Stacked RBMs + BP	Excellent feature abstraction via unsupervised pre-training.	General radar modulation classification.	Medium
SE-Networks	CNN + Channel Attention	Focuses on the most informative features of the signal.	High-noise environments (Low SNR).	Medium-High
Dual-Attention HRRP	Memory + Attention	Robust against imbalanced data and angular variations.	Automatic Target Recognition (ATR).	High
Deep Metric Learning	Embedding Layers + Triplet Loss	Optimizes feature space for maximum class separation.	LPI Radar Signal Recognition.	High
Squeeze-and-Excitation	Global Pooling + Sigmoid	Better recognition effect than standard CNNs.	Real-time signal processing.	Low-Medium

Technical Analysis of Dual-Component Signal Recognition

A particularly difficult scenario in electronic reconnaissance is the presence of **dual-component signals**. This occurs when two distinct radar modulations overlap in the time-frequency domain. Conventional methods often fail because the resulting spectrogram is a complex interference pattern that doesn't match any single-class template.

The Randomly Overlapping Model

Modern approaches treat this as a multi-label classification problem or a signal separation task. By training deep networks on **randomly overlapping dual-component** datasets, the models learn to identify the presence of two distinct "signatures" within a single data window. To solve the computational cost associated with these complex models, researchers are using techniques like **knowledge distillation** or **network pruning**to ensure these systems can run on shipborne or airborne hardware with limited power budgets.

Practical Implementation: A Technical Workflow

Implementing a deep learning-based radar signal recognition system requires a structured engineering approach. The following steps outline the standard procedural execution from raw data acquisition to real-time classification:

1. Data Acquisition and Pre-processing: Raw I/Q (In-phase and Quadrature) data is captured. To make this data suitable for neural networks, it is typically converted into 2D representations using Short-Time Fourier Transform (STFT), Wigner-Ville Distribution (WVD), or Choi-Williams Distribution (CWD).
2. Noise Augmentation: To ensure robustness, the training set must be augmented with varying levels of Gaussian noise, simulating different SNR environments (e.g., from -20dB to 20dB).
3. Model Selection: Choosing the architecture. For instance, if the goal is to classify LPI signals, a Deep Metric Learning framework with an SE-ResNet backbone is often preferred.
4. Pre-training: Utilizing unsupervised methods like the RSRDRBM's bottom-up approach to initialize weights, which prevents the model from getting stuck in local minima during the main training phase.
5. Training and Validation: The model is trained on a GPU-accelerated environment using a split of training,

validation, and test datasets. Hyperparameters such as learning rate, batch size, and dropout rate are optimized.

6. Deployment and Integration: The trained model is quantized and deployed to an embedded system (e.g., FPGA or NVIDIA Jetson) for real-time inference within the ESM suite.

Troubleshooting Failure Modes in Radar AI

Even the most advanced models face operational challenges. Below are common failure modes and their technical solutions:

1. Overfitting to Simulated Data

Problem: Many models are trained on simulated signals that lack the imperfections of real-world hardware (e.g., phase noise, oscillator drift). When deployed, performance drops significantly.**Solution:** Use **Domain Adaptation** techniques or incorporate real-world recorded signal samples into the training pipeline to bridge the "simulation-to-reality" gap.

2. High Computational Latency

Problem: Deep networks like DBNs or heavy CNNs might take too long to process a signal, missing critical fast-pulsed threats.**Solution:** Implementation of **Squeeze-and-Excitation**modules which provide higher accuracy with fewer parameters compared to simply adding more convolutional layers.

3. Class Imbalance (The Open-Set Problem)

Problem: In a real theater, the system may encounter a radar type it has never seen before. A closed-set classifier will force this unknown signal into a known category incorrectly.**Solution:** Implement **Open-Set Recognition (OSR)** by adding a rejection threshold to the Softmax output or using **Autoencoders**to detect high reconstruction errors for unknown signal types.

The Future of Radar Signal Intelligence

The integration of deep learning into radar technology is moving toward **fully autonomous cognitive systems**. These systems won't just recognize signals; they will predict the next move of an adversary's radar based on observed patterns. The research into **Deep Restricted Boltzmann Machines** and **Attention-based HRRP recognition** has laid the groundwork for this transition.

As radar systems become more sophisticated, employing techniques like frequency hopping and pulse-to-pulse modulation agility, the neural networks governing our reconnaissance must also evolve. The future lies in **Multistatic Radar Target Recognition** and the use of **Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR)**imagery processed through deep neural architectures. By combining hierarchical unsupervised learning with advanced attention mechanisms, the next generation of ESM systems will provide an unprecedented level of clarity in the complex electromagnetic spectrum of tomorrow.

The transition from classical signal processing to deep learning is not merely an upgrade; it is a fundamental shift in how we interpret the invisible world of radar. Through continued innovation in architectures like RSRDRBM and SE-networks, the ability to maintain the tactical advantage in electronic warfare remains within reach, ensuring that even the most well-hidden LPI signals are eventually brought into the light of recognition.

Tags: #Radar Signal Recognition #Deep Learning #Restricted Boltzmann Machine #Electronic Reconnaissance #LPI Radar #HRRP Target Recognition