

A Game Design Vocabulary: Mastering the Foundational Principles of Interactive Systems

Published: February 5, 2025 | Index ID: #393

In the rapidly evolving landscape of interactive media, the ability to communicate design intent clearly is often the difference between a cohesive player experience and a fragmented one. The seminal work by **Anna Anthropy** and **Naomi Clark**, *A Game Design Vocabulary: Exploring the Foundational Principles Behind Good Game Design*, provides the industry with a critical framework for understanding how games function at their most fundamental level. Rather than focusing on code or art assets, this framework emphasizes the **grammar of play**: the verbs, objects, and systems that constitute the player's interaction with a virtual world.

The Necessity of a Standardized Game Design Language

For decades, game development was governed by intuition and genre-specific tropes. However, as games have expanded into educational, therapeutic, and high-art contexts, the need for a rigorous, technical vocabulary has become paramount. A standardized vocabulary allows designers to move beyond vague descriptors like "fun" or "immersive" and instead focus on the **mechanical structures** that evoke specific psychological responses. This objective approach treats game design as a formal discipline akin to linguistics or structural engineering.

The Theoretical Framework: Verbs and Objects

At the heart of Anthropy and Clark's methodology is the concept that every game can be distilled into **Verbs** and **Objects**. This linguistic analogy provides a powerful tool for deconstructing complex systems into manageable components.

- **Verbs:** These represent the actions available to the player. In a technical sense, a verb is an input-driven function that modifies the game state. Examples include "jump," "shoot," "trade," or "negotiate."
- **Objects:** These are the entities within the game world that the player acts upon or interacts with via verbs. Objects possess attributes (variables) that are altered by the execution of a verb.

The relationship between these two elements defines the **core loop** of the game. For instance, in *Super Mario Bros.*, the primary verb is "jump," and the objects include platforms (which support the player), enemies (which are defeated by the jump), and blocks (which release items upon interaction). By focusing on these primitives, designers can evaluate whether their game has enough "depth" (the range of ways a single verb can interact with various objects) or "breadth" (the variety of verbs available).

Technical Analysis of Game Mechanics and Dynamics

Understanding the vocabulary is only the first step; the second is analyzing how these components form **systems**. In systems design, we look at the **Mathematical Modeling** of game states. A game can be viewed as a state machine where each player action (verb) triggers a transition from State A to State B.

The Feedback Loop Equation

A successful game mechanic relies on a clear feedback loop. We can express the efficiency of a game mechanic (E) as a function of the player's action (A), the system's reaction (R), and the perceived progress (P):

$$E = f(A, R, P)$$

If the reaction (\$R\$) is delayed or non-obvious, the player loses the sense of agency. The vocabulary proposed by Anthropy and Clark helps designers identify exactly where this loop is failing. Is the **Verb** too complex to execute? Or is the **Object** not communicating its state change effectively?

The Hierarchy of Rules

Game systems are governed by layers of rules that dictate how verbs and objects interact:

1. Constitutive Rules: The underlying logical and mathematical structures (e.g., the physics engine calculations).
2. Operational Rules: The instructions provided to the player (e.g., "Press A to Jump").
3. Implicit Rules: The social or genre-based expectations (e.g., "Don't cheat in a multiplayer match").

Comparative Evaluation of Design Frameworks

To better understand how a structured vocabulary impacts design, we can compare it against other common industry frameworks, such as the MDA (Mechanics, Dynamics, Aesthetics) framework.

Feature/Framework	Anthropy & Clark Vocabulary	MDA Framework	Evolutionary Design
Primary Focus	Atomic Actions (Verbs/ Objects)	Player Experience (Aesthetics)	Iterative Prototyping
Granularity	High (Focuses on specific inputs)	Medium (Focuses on systems)	Low (Focuses on the whole)
Application	Level Design & Core Loop Tuning	High-level Project Strategy	Rapid Market Entry
Communication	Precise technical language	Abstract conceptual language	Visual/Experiential

Mapping Player Experience to Technical Verbs

By using a structured table, designers can map the intended emotional outcome to the necessary mechanical verbs. This ensures that the technical execution aligns with the creative vision.

Desired Player Emotion	Required System Dynamics	Example Verbs
Empowerment	Positive feedback loops, high agency	Destroy, Conquer, Upgrade
Tension	Scarcity, high-risk state changes	Hide, Conserve, Evade
Curiosity	Information asymmetry, discovery	Explore, Decipher, Examine
Altruism	Social interaction, resource sharing	Heal, Give, Protect

Designing Meaningful Encounters: The Scene Structure

Anthropy and Clark introduce the concept of the **Encounter**—a discrete unit of gameplay where the player must use their vocabulary to overcome a challenge. A well-designed encounter follows a three-act structure within the game's level design:

1. The Introduction (The Tutorialization)

The player is introduced to a new **Object** or a new application of a **Verb** in a safe environment. For example, a cracked wall (object) is shown next to a bomb (tool for a verb) with no enemies nearby. The goal is to establish the **Rule** of the encounter.

2. The Development (The Complication)

The system adds complexity. Perhaps the cracked wall is now guarded by an enemy, or the player must time their bomb placement while a platform moves. This forces the player to integrate multiple parts of their vocabulary simultaneously.

3. The Twist (The Mastery Test)

The player's understanding is challenged. The cracked wall might now be on the ceiling, or the player must use the bomb's knockback to jump higher rather than just breaking an object. This transitions the player from **Competence** to **Mastery**.

Practical Implementation: A Field Guide for Designers

Integrating a formal vocabulary into a development workflow requires discipline. Below is a step-by-step procedural guide for establishing a design vocabulary for a new project.

Phase 1: Defining the Primary Verb Set

Identify the 2-3 actions the player will perform 90% of the time. These must be polished to perfection. If "Movement" is a primary verb, the physics, acceleration curves, and deceleration must be mathematically tuned before any other systems are built.

Phase 2: Object Cataloging

Create a comprehensive list of all interactive objects. Define their **States**. For instance, a "Door" object might have states: *Locked, Unlocked, Open, Broken*. Ensure that every primary verb has a meaningful interaction with most objects.

Phase 3: Building the Interaction Matrix

Construct a grid where Verbs are rows and Objects are columns. Fill in the cells with the resulting interaction. If a

cell is empty (e.g., the "Fire" verb does nothing to the "Ice Block" object), it represents a potential point of player frustration or a missed design opportunity.

Case Studies: Analysis of Failure and Success

Failure Mode: The Over-Complex Verb Set

In many failed RPGs, designers introduce too many verbs (e.g., 50 different spells) without providing enough unique objects to act upon. This results in **redundancy**, where 10 different spells essentially perform the same state change (reducing enemy HP). The player's vocabulary is "noisy" but not "deep."

Solution: Consolidate verbs. Instead of 10 damage spells, create 3 spells that interact differently with the environment (e.g., one that freezes water, one that burns wood, one that conducts electricity through metal).

Success Case: Environmental Storytelling via Vocabulary

In *Portal*, the vocabulary is extremely limited: "Place Portal A," "Place Portal B," and "Grab Object." However, the **Objects** (lasers, cubes, turrets, gel) are so varied that the game achieves immense depth. The story is told not just through dialogue, but through the player's realization of how their limited verbs can subvert the testing chambers designed by GLaDOS.

Summary and Broader Implications

The framework established in *A Game Design Vocabulary* transcends simple game development; it provides a lens through which we can understand all interactive systems. By viewing interactions as a series of verbs and objects, designers can strip away the distractions of high-fidelity graphics and complex narratives to see the skeletal structure of the experience. This technical clarity is essential for the maturation of the medium.

As we move toward more complex simulations, including virtual reality and AI-driven procedural worlds, the fundamental principles of **clear communication through mechanics** remain unchanged. A designer who masters the vocabulary of play is not just a creator of entertainment, but an architect of human agency and experience. The future of game design lies in the precision of our language and the intentionality of our systems.

Tags: [#Game Design](#) [#Systems Design](#) [#Interactive Media](#) [#Anna Anthropy](#) [#Naomi Clark](#) [#Level Design](#)

