MALAYSIAN CORPORATE LAW

Comprehensive Legal Analysis of the Malaysian Partnership Act 1961 (Act 135): Framework, Liability, and Technical Governance

Published: September 27, 2026 | Index ID: #446

The **Partnership Act 1961 (Act 135)**, originally known as **Akta Perkongsian 1961**, serves as the foundational legislative framework governing the formation, operation, and dissolution of partnerships in Malaysia. Enacted to consolidate laws relating to partnerships, this Act is largely modeled after the United Kingdom's Partnership Act of 1890, reflecting the profound influence of English Common Law on the Malaysian legal system. For legal practitioners, entrepreneurs, and corporate strategists, understanding the nuances of Act 135 is critical, as it dictates the fiduciary responsibilities, liability structures, and dispute resolution mechanisms for one of the most common business vehicles in the country.

The Conceptual Framework of Partnership Under Section 3(1)

At the core of the **Akta Perkongsian 1961** lies the statutory definition of a partnership. **Section 3(1)**defines a partnership as the "relation which subsists between persons carrying on a business in common with a view of profit." To understand the technical application of this law, one must decompose this definition into its five constituent elements:

- The Existence of a Relation: Unlike a company, which is a separate legal entity created by registration, a partnership is a relationship. This relationship is essentially contractual, though it may exist in the absence of a written agreement if the conduct of the parties suggests a mutual intent to operate as partners.
- Between Persons: The term "persons" includes individuals and, in certain legal contexts, can include corporate bodies. However, under Section 14 of the Act, there are strict limits on the number of members (typically restricted to 20, except for professional practices).
- Carrying on a Business: Section 2 defines business as including "every trade, occupation, or profession." This implies a degree of continuity and repetition. A single isolated transaction may not necessarily constitute a partnership unless it is part of a broader commercial intent.
- In Common: This signifies that the business must be conducted by, or on behalf of, all the partners. There must be a mutual agency where the actions of one partner bind the others, provided those actions are within the scope of the partnership business.
- With a View of Profit: The primary objective must be the generation of profit. Charitable organizations, clubs, or societies that do not aim for commercial gain do not fall under the jurisdiction of the Partnership Act 1961.

Technical Breakdown of Partnership Formation and Capacity

In Malaysia, a partnership is relatively easy to form compared to a **Sdn Bhd** (Private Limited Company). It is governed by the **Registration of Businesses Act 1956**, but the substantive rights are governed by Act 135. However, there are technical constraints regarding who can enter into such an agreement.

1. Capacity of Minors

While the **Age of Majority Act 1971** sets the age of majority at 18, Malaysian law (following the English precedent in *William v Parsons*) allows a minor to enter into a partnership. However, the minor is not personally liable for partnership debts incurred during their minority. Upon reaching the age of 18, the individual must decide whether to repudiate the partnership; failure to do so results in them becoming fully liable for subsequent obligations.

2. The Numerical Limitation (Section 14)

A significant technical constraint is found in **Section 14(3)(b)** of the Act. For ordinary trading partnerships, the maximum number of partners is **20**. If a business exceeds this number without incorporating under the Companies Act 2016, it becomes an "illegal association," which cannot sue to enforce its contracts. An exception exists for professional partnerships (e.g., law firms, accounting firms) where the number of partners can exceed 20, provided they are regulated by their respective professional bodies.

Rights and Duties of Partners: The Fiduciary Standard

The **Akta Perkongsian 1961** establishes a default set of rules that apply unless the partners have executed a written **Partnership Deed** to the contrary. These are found primarily in **Section 26**. In the absence of a specific agreement, the following technical rules apply:

- Equal Share in Capital and Profits: All partners are entitled to share equally in the capital and profits of the business and must contribute equally to the losses.
- Indemnity: The firm must indemnify every partner in respect of payments made and personal liabilities incurred in the ordinary and proper conduct of the business.
- Interest on Advances: A partner making any actual payment or advance beyond the amount of capital which he has agreed to subscribe is entitled to interest at the rate of 8% per annum from the date of the payment or advance.
- Management Rights: Every partner may take part in the management of the partnership business.
- No Remuneration: No partner is entitled to a salary for acting in the partnership business; their compensation is solely their share of the profits.

Mandatory Fiduciary Duties (Sections 30, 31, and 32)

Regardless of what is written in a contract, the law imposes strict fiduciary duties on partners to ensure **uberrimae fidei** (utmost good faith):

1. Duty of Disclosure (Section 30): Partners are bound to render true accounts and full information of all things affecting the partnership to any partner or his legal representatives.
2. Accountability for Private Profits (Section 31): Every partner must account to the firm for any benefit derived by him without the consent of the other partners from any transaction concerning the partnership.
3. Duty Not to Compete (Section 32): If a partner, without the consent of the other partners, carries on any business of the same nature as and competing with that of the firm, he must account for and pay over to the firm all profits made by him in that business.

The Doctrine of Agency and Liability to Third Parties

The most critical aspect of the **Partnership Act 1961** for creditors and third parties is the concept of **Mutual Agency**. Under **Section 7**, every partner is an agent of the firm and his other partners for the purpose of the business of the partnership. The acts of every partner who does any act for carrying on in the usual way business of the kind carried on by the firm bind the firm and his partners.

Types of Liability

The Act distinguishes between liability for contracts and liability for torts (wrongful acts):

Type of Obligation	Relevant Section	Nature of Liability	Technical Implications
Contractual Debts	Section 11	Joint Liability	A creditor has one cause of action; if they sue only one partner and get judgment, they cannot later sue the others.
Torts and Civil Wrongs	Section 12 & 14	Joint and Several	The victim can sue all partners together or any one of them individually for the full amount of the damage.
Misapplication of Money	Section 13	Joint and Several	The firm is liable to make good the loss if a partner receives money and misapplies it.

The Principle of "Holding Out" (Section 16)

A person may be held liable as a partner even if they are not one, through the doctrine of **Estoppel** or "Holding Out." Under **Section 16**, if an individual represents themselves (or allows others to represent them) as a partner in a firm, they are liable as a partner to anyone who has given credit to the firm based on that representation. This is a vital protective measure for third parties dealing with businesses that present a facade of partnership.

Dissolution of Partnership: Mechanisms and Procedures

Unlike a company, which undergoes a formal liquidation process (winding up), a partnership under the **Akta Perkongsian 1961** can be dissolved through several technical avenues, categorized by **Sections 34 to 37**.

1. Dissolution by Expiration or Notice (Section 34)

Subject to any agreement between the partners, a partnership is dissolved:If entered into for a fixed term, by the expiration of that term.If entered into for a single adventure or undertaking, by the termination of that adventure.If entered into for an undefined time, by any partner giving notice to the others of his intention to dissolve the partnership.

2. Dissolution by Death, Bankruptcy, or Charge (Section 35)

Technically, every partnership is dissolved as regards all the partners by the death or bankruptcy of any partner. This is a "default" rule; most modern partnership deeds include a "continuation clause" to ensure the business survives the death of a partner, allowing the remaining partners to buy out the deceased's share.

3. Dissolution by Illegality (Section 36)

A partnership is in every case dissolved by the happening of any event which makes it unlawful for the business of the firm to be carried on or for the members of the firm to carry it on in partnership.

4. Dissolution by the Court (Section 37)

A partner may apply to the court for a decree of dissolution under several circumstances, including:When a partner is found to be of **unsound mind**.When a partner becomes **permanently incapable** of performing their part of the partnership contract.When a partner has been guilty of **conduct prejudicial** to the business.When the business of the partnership can only be carried on at a **loss**.Whenever the court thinks it **just and equitable**that the partnership be dissolved.

Comparison: Traditional Partnership vs. Limited Liability Partnership (LLP)

In 2012, Malaysia introduced the **Limited Liability Partnerships Act 2012**. While the **Partnership Act 1961** remains the primary law for traditional partnerships, many are migrating to LLPs. The table below outlines the technical differences.

Feature	Traditional Partnership (Act 135)	Limited Liability Partnership (LLP)
Legal Entity	Not a separate legal entity.	Separate legal entity.
Liability	Unlimited personal liability for all partners.	Limited to the amount contributed to the LLP.
Continuity	Dissolved by death/bankruptcy unless agreed otherwise.	Perpetual succession.
Compliance	Lower; Registration of Businesses Act 1956.	Higher; Annual declarations to SSM required.
Governing Law	Partnership Act 1961.	Limited Liability Partnerships Act 2012.

Technical Field Guide: Managing a Partnership Under Act 135

To operate effectively and minimize legal risks under the **Akta Perkongsian 1961**, management should follow a standardized procedural workflow for governance and risk mitigation.

Phase 1: Pre-Formation Audit

Before initiating a partnership, individuals must verify the legal capacity of all parties. This includes conducting bankruptcy searches and verifying professional licenses (if applicable under Section 14). It is mathematically prudent to model profit-sharing ratios against capital contribution expectations, ensuring that Section 26's "equal sharing" default does not unintentionally penalize high-capital contributors.

Phase 2: Drafting the Partnership Deed

While an oral agreement is valid, it is professionally negligent not to have a written deed. Key technical clauses should include:**Capital Contribution:** Explicitly stating amounts to override Section 26(a).**Decision-Making:** Defining whether a simple majority or a 75% majority is needed for specific actions (e.g., taking on debt). **Expulsion Clause:** Under **Section 27**, a partner cannot be expelled by a majority unless the power is conferred by express agreement.**Dissolution Triggers:** Explicitly stating that death or bankruptcy does not dissolve the firm.

Phase 3: Operational Governance

Establish a rigorous accounting system to comply with **Section 30**. Partners should hold quarterly "Full Disclosure Meetings" where all financial statements and potential conflicts of interest (referencing Section 31) are minuted. This creates a contemporaneous record that can prevent litigation if the fiduciary duty is questioned later.

Troubleshooting Common Failure Modes in Partnerships

Many partnerships fail due to a lack of understanding of the **Akta Perkongsian 1961**. Below are common operational challenges and their legal solutions.

Challenge: The "Sleeping Partner" Liability

A common misconception is that a partner who does not participate in management (a "sleeping partner") is not liable for debts. **Technical Solution:** Under Act 135, all partners are jointly liable. The only way to limit liability is to register as a Limited Partner under the **Limited Partnerships Act 1907** (in jurisdictions where applicable) or, in Malaysia's modern context, transition to an **LLP**. A sleeping partner remains fully liable to third parties for the firm's obligations.

Challenge: Unauthorized Debts

A partner takes a loan in the firm's name for a personal purpose. **Technical Solution:** Refer to **Section 9**. If a partner pledges the credit of the firm for a purpose apparently not connected with the firm's ordinary course of business, the firm is not bound unless the partner was specially authorized. However, if the act was "in the usual way of business," the firm is liable, but it can then sue the offending partner for breach of fiduciary duty under Section 31.

Challenge: Retirement Disputes

A partner retires but the firm continues to use their name, or they continue to be held liable for new debts.
Technical Solution: Section 38 requires that when a person ceases to be a partner, the authority of the old firm continues for those dealing with the firm until they have notice of the change. A specific notice must be published in the **Federal Gazette** and sent to regular customers to terminate liability for future acts.

Strategic Implications for the Malaysian Business Landscape

The **Partnership Act 1961**remains a vital piece of legislation because it provides a flexible, low-cost entry point for entrepreneurs. While the unlimited liability aspect is a significant risk, the fiduciary protections provided by Sections 30-32 offer a robust shield against internal malpractice. As Malaysia continues to modernize its corporate law through the Companies Act 2016 and the LLP Act 2012, the 1961 Act serves as the "fallback" or "default" law. It defines the ethical and operational baseline for what it means to do business "in common."

Ultimately, the longevity of the **Akta Perkongsian 1961**is a testament to its foundational principles. It balances the autonomy of partners to contract out of certain rules with mandatory obligations of honesty and transparency. For any business entity operating under this Act, the key to success lies in proactive documentation—specifically, a Partnership Deed that acknowledges the Act’s default positions while tailoring them to the specific capital and operational realities of the modern Malaysian marketplace.

Tags: #Akta Perkongsian 1961 #Partnership Act 1961 #Malaysia Business Law #Fiduciary Duties #Joint and Several Liability