

# Comprehensive Guide to Susan Glaspell's 'A Jury of Her Peers': Literary Analysis and MLA Citation Standards

Published: May 6, 2026 | Index ID: #814

Susan Glaspell's seminal short story, "**A Jury of Her Peers**" (1917), stands as a cornerstone of early 20th-century feminist literature. Adapted from her own one-act play, *Trifles*, the narrative explores themes of justice, gender roles, and the distinct ethical landscapes inhabited by men and women. In the contemporary academic environment, the study of Glaspell's work requires more than just a thematic reading; it demands a rigorous technical approach to research and documentation. The availability of specialized editions, such as the **Squid Ink Classic**, which integrates the full text with pre-formatted **MLA style citations** for scholarly secondary sources, peer-reviewed journal articles, and critical essays, has revolutionized how students and researchers engage with this text. This guide provides an in-depth technical analysis of the story's core mechanics, a procedural framework for academic citation, and an evaluation of the research methodologies necessary for high-level literary analysis.

## The Theoretical Framework of Feminist Jurisprudence in Glaspell's Work

To understand the technical depth of "A Jury of Her Peers," one must first examine the socio-legal context of the early 1900s. At the time of the story's publication, women in the United States were largely excluded from jury service. This legal exclusion serves as the primary engine of the plot. The story functions as a **narrative experiment** in feminist jurisprudence, illustrating the concept of a "jury of peers" through a gendered lens that the official legal system of the time ignored.

The core mechanism of the story is the **epistemological gap** between the male characters (the Sheriff, the County Attorney, and the neighbor, Mr. Hale) and the female characters (Mrs. Hale and Mrs. Peters). While the men search for physical, "significant" evidence of a motive in the context of traditional crime scenes, the women identify the psychological and emotional evidence hidden in what the men dismiss as "trifles." This technical distinction between **legalistic evidence** and **contextual evidence** is the foundation of Glaspell's critique.

### Technical Comparison: 'Trifles' vs. 'A Jury of Her Peers'

While the plots are nearly identical, the shift from a play (*Trifles*) to a short story ("A Jury of Her Peers") allows for a deeper exploration of internal monologues and environmental detail. The table below outlines the structural differences between these two versions of the narrative.

| Feature               | Trifles (Play)                               | A Jury of Her Peers (Short Story)                        |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Perspective           | External, objective (visual/auditory)        | Third-person limited (focusing on Martha Hale)           |
| Atmospheric Detail    | Dependent on stage directions and set design | Detailed prose descriptions of the cold and isolation    |
| Character Interiority | Revealed through dialogue and subtext        | Extensive internal monologue regarding guilt and empathy |
| Pacing                | Real-time dramatic action                    | Reflective pacing with focus on symbolic objects         |

## MLA 9th Edition Standards for Literary Research

In technical writing and academic research, precision in documentation is paramount. The **Modern Language Association (MLA)** 9th Edition provides a standardized framework for citing literary works and the secondary scholarship that surrounds them. When utilizing an edition like the Squid Ink Classic, which includes **peer-reviewed journal articles** and critical essays, the researcher must navigate the "Container" system of MLA 9.

### The MLA Container System: A Procedural Breakdown

The MLA 9th edition operates on a system of **nine core elements**. This modular approach allows researchers to cite any source type by following a specific sequence. For a short story contained within a curated edition, the following technical workflow is applied:

- Author: The creator of the primary content (e.g., Glaspell, Susan).
- Title of Source: The name of the specific work (e.g., "A Jury of Her Peers").
- Title of Container: The larger work the source is found in (e.g., A Jury of Her Peers: Squid Ink Classics Edition).
- Other Contributors: Editors or translators who assisted in the publication.
- Version: The specific edition or volume (e.g., Squid Ink Classic Edition).
- Number: Issue or volume numbers for journal articles.
- Publisher: The entity responsible for making the work available (e.g., Kessinger Publishing or Squid Ink).
- Publication Date: The year of release.
- Location: Page ranges (p. or pp.) or a URL/DOI for digital sources.

### Citing Scholarly Secondary Sources

A secondary source provides analysis, interpretation, or evaluation of the primary text. When citing a **peer-reviewed journal article** found within a research-heavy edition of Glaspell's work, the citation must include both the article's original publication data and the data of the edition being used. This is known as citing a work in a **second container**.

## Technical Analysis: Identifying Motive Through 'Trifles'

The discovery of the motive in "A Jury of Her Peers" is a masterclass in **inductive reasoning**. The women observe three primary technical failures in Minnie Wright's domestic environment that indicate a psychological break:

1. The Unfinished Task: The half-wiped kitchen table signifies an abrupt interruption in routine, suggesting a

sudden onset of emotional distress or external conflict.

2. The Erratic Stitching: Martha Hale notices a sudden change in the quality of Minnie's quilting. The shift from neat, organized stitches to crooked, chaotic lines serves as physical evidence of mental agitation.

3. The Broken Birdcage and the Dead Canary: This is the most significant technical piece of evidence. The broken hinge on the cage door suggests violence, and the dead bird (with its neck wrung) provides the forensic link to the method of John Wright's murder.

For a researcher, these items are not merely symbols; they are **data points**. When writing a technical analysis of the story, one must treat these objects as components of a larger psychological profile. Using **scholarly secondary sources** allows the researcher to connect these data points to broader historical contexts, such as the "cult of domesticity" or early 20th-century legal statutes regarding domestic abuse.

## Integrating Critical Essays and Peer-Reviewed Articles

---

To produce a 2,000-word academic paper, the writer must effectively synthesize their own observations with existing scholarship. The process of integration involves several technical steps:

### 1. Source Evaluation (The CRAAP Test)

Before including a secondary source, it must be evaluated for:

- Currency: Is the scholarship recent enough to reflect modern critical theories?
- Relevance: Does the essay directly address the specific aspect of Glaspell's work being analyzed?
- Authority: Is the author a recognized scholar in American literature or feminist theory?
- Accuracy: Are the claims supported by evidence from the primary text?
- Purpose: Is the source objective, or does it have an ideological bias?

### 2. The Sandwich Method of Quoting

In technical academic writing, a quote should never stand alone. It must be "sandwiched" between the writer's own analysis:

- Introduction: Provide context for the quote and identify the author/scholar.
- The Quote: Insert the peer-reviewed evidence, properly formatted with MLA citations.
- Analysis: Explain how the quote supports the thesis and connects to the primary text's technical details.

## Technical Metrics for Source Comparison

---

When selecting a version of "A Jury of Her Peers" for research, the student must weigh the benefits of different editions. The **Squid Ink Classic** edition is specifically engineered for academic efficiency.

| Edition Type                    | Primary Text Inclusion | MLA Citations Included | Peer-Reviewed Essays | Target Audience   |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Standard Anthology              | Yes                    | No                     | Minimal              | General Readers   |
| Squid Ink Classic               | Yes                    | Yes (Pre-formatted)    | Yes (Curated)        | Research Students |
| Digital Open Source             | Yes                    | No                     | None                 | Casual Readers    |
| Critical Edition (e.g., Norton) | Yes                    | No                     | Extensive            | Advanced Scholars |

## Case Study: The Impact of Curated MLA Citations on Research Accuracy

A common failure mode in undergraduate research is the **misattribution of secondary sources**. For instance, a student may find a critical essay about Glaspell but fail to cite the original journal in which it appeared, leading to a loss of academic integrity. The technical solution provided by editions that include pre-formatted **MLA style citations** is the elimination of "citation lag"—the time spent manually formatting complex source strings.

Consider the following technical scenario: A student is analyzing the canary as a symbol of Minnie Wright's silenced voice. They find a peer-reviewed article by a literary critic. Without a curated edition, the student must manually locate the volume, issue, and database DOI. With a curated edition, the **metadata** is already structured, allowing the student to focus on the **semantic analysis** of the argument rather than the **syntactic formatting** of the citation.

## Troubleshooting Common Citation and Analysis Errors

Even with advanced tools, researchers often encounter operational challenges. Below is a matrix of common errors and their technical solutions.

### Error Matrix

| Error Type              | Description                                                               | Technical Solution                                                                     |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Improper Punctuation    | Placing periods inside parenthetical citations.                           | Place the period after the closing parenthesis (e.g., "...text" (Glaspell 4).).        |
| Container Confusion     | Citing the database (like JSTOR) as the publisher.                        | Identify the database as the second container, not the primary publisher.              |
| Attribution Failure     | Paraphrasing a critical essay without a citation.                         | Any idea not original to the writer must have an in-text citation, even if not quoted. |
| Genre Misidentification | Referring to Trifles as a short story or "A Jury of Her Peers" as a play. | Check the structural metadata of the edition being used.                               |

## Implementation Guide: Constructing a Technical Argument

To implement the insights gained from Glaspell's work and the associated secondary sources, follow this **procedural checklist** for writing a high-level research paper:

1. Define the Technical Scope: Are you focusing on the legal aspects, the symbolic mechanics, or the historical context?
2. Primary Source Deep-Dive: Re-read "A Jury of Her Peers" and highlight all instances of "trifles" that the men ignore.
3. Secondary Source Integration: Consult the peer-reviewed journal articles provided in the Squid Ink Classic edition to find scholarly support for your observations.
4. Drafting the Citation String: Use the pre-formatted MLA citations to build your Works Cited page first. This ensures you have all necessary metadata before you start writing.
5. Synthesis: Connect the historical reality of 1917 (the lack of female jurors) to the fictional narrative of the two women acting as an unofficial jury.

## Advanced Theoretical Considerations: The Canary and the Law

The death of the canary represents more than just the death of a pet; it is the **catalyst for a verdict**. In technical legal terms, the women move from **witnesses** to **judges**. The technical execution of this transition is handled through the dialogue between Mrs. Hale and Mrs. Peters. Mrs. Peters, as the "wife of the sheriff," is initially "married to the law." However, her personal history (the loss of her own child and the memory of a boy killing her kitten) creates a **biographical alignment** with Minnie Wright. This shift from institutional loyalty to empathetic solidarity is the story's primary psychological arc.

When analyzing this shift using **critical essays**, researchers often employ **Reader-Response Theory** or **New Historicism**. A technical writer must be able to categorize these secondary sources by their theoretical framework to ensure a cohesive argument. For example, a New Historicist essay will focus on the actual laws of Iowa in 1917, while a Reader-Response essay will focus on how a modern audience reacts to the women's decision to hide the evidence.

## Broader Implications of Specialized Scholarly Editions

The existence of editions that combine the primary text with **MLA style citations for scholarly secondary**

**sources** marks a shift in educational technology. It acknowledges that the modern student is often overwhelmed by the sheer volume of digital information. By providing **peer-reviewed journal articles** and **critical essays** within the same "container" as the primary story, publishers are facilitating a more **integrated research workflow**.

This integration reduces the cognitive load on the researcher, allowing for deeper engagement with the complex moral questions Glaspell raises. Is it ever just to circumvent the law? Can a "jury of peers" exist when the legal system is fundamentally biased? These questions remain as relevant today as they were in 1917. Through the rigorous application of **MLA standards** and the careful study of **scholarly secondary sources**, we can continue to extract new meaning from this classic text, ensuring that the "trifles" of the past are never truly forgotten.

Ultimately, the technical study of "A Jury of Her Peers" serves as a bridge between literature and sociology. By documenting the narrative with precision and grounding our analysis in peer-reviewed scholarship, we validate the experiences of characters like Minnie Wright and Martha Hale. The use of specialized research editions is not just a convenience; it is a technical necessity for maintaining the high standards of contemporary literary discourse.

Tags: #Susan Glaspell #MLA Style #A Jury of Her Peers #Technical Writing #Feminist Literature #Academic Research











