

Comprehensive Technical Analysis of the Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research: Metrics, Standards, and Scholarly Publishing Workflows

Published: May 12, 2026 | Index ID: #140

In the rapidly evolving landscape of biomedical and pharmaceutical sciences, the dissemination of high-quality research through peer-reviewed journals remains the cornerstone of academic advancement and clinical innovation. The **Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research (AJPCR)**, established in 2008, has emerged as a significant platform for scholars within the Asia-Pacific region and beyond. As an open-access, peer-reviewed monthly publication, it serves a critical role in bridging the gap between theoretical pharmaceutical chemistry and practical clinical application. This technical analysis explores the journal's operational framework, its indexing metrics, the technical standards required for scientific reporting, and a comparative evaluation of its standing within the global scientific community.

The Theoretical Framework of Pharmaceutical Scholarly Publishing

Scientific publishing is governed by rigorous protocols designed to ensure the reproducibility and validity of data. For journals like AJPCR, the scope encompasses a broad spectrum of disciplines including pharmacology, pharmaceuticals, pharmacognosy, and clinical pharmacy. To understand the journal's impact, one must first analyze the fundamental mechanisms of the **Open Access (OA)** model it employs.

Open Access in the context of AJPCR follows the **Gold Open Access** route, where research outputs are made immediately and permanently free to access online for everyone. This model is underpinned by the Creative Commons attribution licenses, which facilitate the maximum dissemination of knowledge while ensuring authors receive proper credit. The technical benefit of this model is a significantly higher citation potential, as barriers to entry for researchers in developing nations are removed.

Core Scientific Domains Covered

- **Pharmaceutics and Drug Delivery:** The study of formulation design, biopharmaceutics, and the kinetics of drug absorption.
- **Pharmacology and Toxicology:** Investigation of drug-receptor interactions and the adverse effects of chemical substances on biological systems.
- **Clinical Pharmacy:** The branch of pharmacy where pharmacists provide patient care that optimizes the use of medication and promotes health.
- **Pharmacognosy:** The study of medicinal drugs derived from plants or other natural sources.

Technical Evaluation of Journal Metrics and Indexing

In the academic hierarchy, the quality of a journal is often quantified through complex bibliometric indicators. Based on the provided technical data, AJPCR maintains an **H-Index of 49**. The H-index is a cross-disciplinary metric that measures both the productivity and citation impact of the publications. An H-index of 49 implies that at least 49 papers published in AJPCR have each been cited at least 49 times.

Scopus Indexing and Coverage Analysis

The indexing status of a journal in databases like **Scopus** and **Elsevier** is a primary indicator of technical rigor. AJPCR's coverage history shows a complex timeline: 2009–2018 and 2020–present. The interruption in 2019 serves as a technical case study in the importance of maintaining consistent editorial standards to meet the evolving criteria of the Scopus Content Selection and Advisory Board (CSAB).

Metric Category	Value / Status	Technical Significance
H-Index	49	Indicates substantial cumulative impact and citation density.
ISSN (Print)	0974-2441	Standardized unique identifier for serial publications.
ISSN (Online)	2455-3891	Ensures digital traceability across global library systems.
Publication Frequency	Monthly (Since 2017)	Ensures rapid dissemination of time-sensitive clinical data.
Indexing Presence	Scopus, Embase, Google Scholar	Determines the visibility of research in systematic reviews.

Technical Challenges in Manuscript Preparation: A Case Study

A critical evaluation of AJPCR publications highlights common technical pitfalls that authors must navigate. Reviewing the "Evaluasi Jurnal" data, we identify specific failure modes in manuscript submission that can lead to rejection or the requirement for extensive revision. These issues are often related to **Structural Integrity** and **Mathematical Formalism**.

1. Structural Integrity of Data Tables

One common technical error is the omission of tables from the main text body despite their mention in the narrative. In a technical manuscript, tables must be self-explanatory and explicitly linked to the text using anchor tags or cross-references. A table that exists in the appendix but is not integrated into the logical flow of the **Results** section diminishes the empirical weight of the study.

2. Mathematical and Formulaic Standards

Scientific rigor requires that every formula be numbered and formatted using standardized notation (e.g., LaTeX or MathML). Failure to number formulas makes peer review and subsequent citation of specific methodology nearly impossible. For example, a pharmacokinetic model for **Vd (Volume of Distribution)** should be presented as:

$$Vd = \text{Total amount of drug in the body} / \text{Plasma drug concentration}$$

Without a corresponding equation number, the technical precision of the paper is compromised.

The Peer-Review Workflow: An Algorithmic Approach

The technical excellence of AJPCR is maintained through a rigorous **Double-Blind Peer Review** process. This workflow can be modeled as a decision-tree algorithm designed to filter out low-validity data and ethical violations.

Step-by-Step Submission and Review Process

1. Initial Screening: The Managing Editor checks for scope alignment and plagiarism (usually using Turnitin or iThenticate). Manuscripts with a similarity index exceeding 15-20% are typically returned.
2. Technical Review: Assessment of the experimental design, statistical power, and adherence to the CONSORT (for clinical trials) or ARRIVE (for animal research) guidelines.
3. Peer Evaluation: Two or more independent subject matter experts evaluate the manuscript's originality and

technical soundness.

4. Editorial Decision: The Editor-in-Chief synthesizes the reviews into one of four outcomes: Accept, Minor Revision, Major Revision, or Reject.

5. Production and XML Tagging: Accepted papers undergo copyediting and conversion into JATS XML format for archival in databases like PubMed Central (where applicable).

Comparative Analysis: AJPCR vs. Regional Competitors

To contextualize AJPCR's performance, it is necessary to compare it with other prominent journals in the same geographical and thematic niche, such as the **Asian Journal of Pharmaceutics** and the **International Journal of Pharmaceutical Research (IJPR)**.

Feature	AJPCR	Asian Journal of Pharmaceutics	IJPR
H-Index	49	35	22
Open Access Type	Gold	Hybrid/Gold	Gold
Primary Focus	Clinical/Pharmaceutical	Industrial Pharmacy	General Pharma
Peer Review Speed	6-8 Weeks	8-12 Weeks	4-6 Weeks

Advanced Statistical Requirements for Pharmaceutical Manuscripts

A major technical requirement for modern submission to high-impact journals like AJPCR is the inclusion of robust statistical analysis. Authors are expected to move beyond simple descriptive statistics to **Inferential Modeling**.

Mathematical Modeling in Clinical Data

When reporting clinical trial outcomes, the **P-value** threshold (typically $p \leq 0.05$) is no longer sufficient on its own. Technical writing must include **Confidence Intervals (CI)** and **Effect Sizes**. For instance, in a comparative study of two drug delivery systems, the technical analysis should involve:

- ANOVA (Analysis of Variance): To determine if there are any statistically significant differences between the means of three or more independent groups.
- Post-hoc Analysis: Using Tukey or Scheffé tests to identify specific group differences after an ANOVA has found a significant result.
- Regression Analysis: To model the relationship between a dependent variable (e.g., drug bioavailability) and independent variables (e.g., polymer concentration).

Operational Troubleshooting: Addressing Indexing Gaps

The gap in Scopus coverage (2019) for AJPCR serves as a critical lesson in **Journal Quality Management Systems (JQMS)**. For a journal to maintain its status, it must adhere to the **COPE (Committee on Publication Ethics)** guidelines consistently. Common reasons for indexing pauses include:

- Irregularity in Publication Cycles: Delays in issuing monthly numbers.
- Citing Patterns: Excessive self-citation within the journal's own articles.
- Editorial Diversity: Lack of geographic diversity among the editorial board members.

To resolve these issues, journals often implement a "Technical Corrective Action Plan," which involves re-evaluating the peer-review pipeline and enhancing the transparency of their editorial policies.

Technical Standardization of Bibliographic Data

AJPCR utilizes standardized metadata formats to ensure its content is discoverable. This involves the use of **Digital Object Identifiers (DOI)**. A DOI is a persistent link that ensures even if the journal's URL changes, the research remains accessible. The technical structure of a DOI (e.g., 10.22159/ajpcr.v14i5.41234) allows for automated citation tracking by services like CrossRef.

Field Guide for Authors: Maximizing Acceptance Probability

To meet the high technical bar set by AJPCR and similar journals, authors should follow this procedural checklist:

1. **Abstract Optimization:** Ensure the abstract is structured (Objective, Methods, Results, Conclusion) and contains high-intent keywords for SEO and indexing.
2. **Graphical Abstract:** Provide a visual summary of the experimental workflow to increase engagement and social media shareability.
3. **Data Availability Statement:** In alignment with modern open science trends, provide a link to the raw data stored in a repository (e.g., Figshare or Dryad).
4. **Conflict of Interest Disclosure:** Explicitly state any financial or personal relationships that could bias the research findings.

The Future of Pharmaceutical Dissemination

The trajectory of journals such as the Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research indicates a move toward more integrated digital ecosystems. The inclusion of **Artificial Intelligence (AI)** in the peer-review process—to detect image manipulation or data fabrication—is becoming a technical standard. Furthermore, the shift toward **Pre-registration** of clinical trials and systematic reviews ensures that the research question is validated before data collection begins, thereby reducing publication bias.

As AJPCR continues its monthly publication cycle, its ability to maintain a high H-index and regain/maintain Scopus coverage depends on its adherence to these rigorous technical and ethical standards. For the global researcher, it remains a vital conduit for pharmaceutical insights, particularly within the context of Asian clinical demographics and regional pharmacological diversity. By addressing the technical gaps—such as the proper formatting of mathematical models and the consistent integration of data tables—the journal can further solidify its position as a primary resource in the pharmaceutical sciences.

The evolution from its inception in 2008 to its current status demonstrates the resilience required in the competitive world of academic publishing. For authors, understanding the technical nuances of this journal—from its ISSN identifiers to its complex indexing history—is essential for successful scholarly communication. By following the rigorous guidelines and statistical standards outlined in this analysis, researchers can ensure their contributions to the field of pharmacy and clinical research are both impactful and enduring.

Tags: #AJPCR #Pharmaceutical Sciences #Clinical Research #Scopus Indexing #H Index #Open Access Journals #Academic Writing

